# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT	ГҮ			
To:		]	PCT	
FB Rice & Co			101	
605 Darling Street	·		•	
BALMAIN NSW 2041			TEN OPINION OF THE	
		INTERNATION	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
	·	(	(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
. *		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0 7 JUL 2004	
Applicant's or agent's file reference 118130		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/AU2004/000582	5 May 2004		11 August 2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classifica	ation and IPC		
Int. Cl. <sup>7</sup> (I)A47C 1/00,1/02,1/032,7/40,10	6/00,17/04,20/00		•	
(II)A47C 1/022,1/024,1/03,7/00	,7/40 F16B 21/16,21/20	,21/10		
Applicant			<u>.</u> .	
KING FURNITURE (AUSTRAI	LIA) PTY LTD et al			
1. This opinion contains indications relat	ting to the following ite	ems:		
X Box No. I Basis of the opinio	-			
Box No. II Priority	•			
	of animian with manual to	novelty, inventive step an	d to dilected on the day	
		noverty, inventive step an	d industrial application by	
	•			
X Box No. V Reasoned statemen citations and explain	it under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(1)	) with regard to novelty, in tatement	ventive step or industrial applicability;	
Box No. VI Certain documents		٠		
Box No. VII Certain defects in t	he international application	on ·	•	
Box No. VIII Certain observation	ns on the international app	plication		
	-			
2. FURTHER ACTION				
If a demand for international preliminary Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA	A") except that this does rotified the International B	not apply where the applica	ed to be a written opinion of the International ant chooses an Authority other than this one to b) that written opinions of this International	
If this opinion is, as provided above, con written reply together, where appropriate PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of	e, with amendments, before	re the expiration of 3 mont	hs from the date of mailing of Form	
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/2		,,enever enpire		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/	ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU		Authorized Officer	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRA		CADAMANA METER		
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au	· ·	SARAVANAMUTHU PONNAMPALAM		
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2070		

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000582

Bo	x No. I	Basis of the opinion
1.	With regar	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in as filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	the fo	opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into ollowing language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of national search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regar	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the vention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of	material
	a	sequence listing
	t	able(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format	of material
		n written format
		n computer readable form
	c. time of	filing/furnishing
		ontained in the international application as filed.
		iled together with the international application in computer readable form.
		urnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	filed o	ition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been in furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in plication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
•		
4.	Additional	comments:
•		
	<del></del>	

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000582

Box	No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	X In	response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
	. 🛚 🗓	paid additional fees
		paid additional fees under protest
		not paid additional fees
2.		nis Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the plicant to pay additional fees.
3.	This Aut	hority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	co	mplied with
	X no	t complied with for the following reasons:
one i	inventio	onal application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention because it does not relate to nor to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept. In coming to this ne International Searching Authority has found that there are different inventions as follows:
1.	arrai meas	ns 1-15. It is considered that the backrest assembly having a front edge and rear edge and slideably aged relative to the base in a plane parallel to the top surface of the base to adjust a depth of the base as sured between the front edge of the backrest assembly and the front of the base comprises a first "special nical feature".
2.	dispi dispi the l dispi	ns 16-25. It is considered that the displacement member movably received in the housing, the acement member being displaceable between a locking orientation and an unlocking orientation, when the acement member is in its locking orientation the locking member is urged partially out of the aperture in ousing to protrude a predetermined extant through the housing to effect locking and, when the acement member is in its unlocking orientation, the locking member is free to be at least partially drawn into the housing to effect unlocking comprises a second "special technical feature".
relat	ionship'	between the inventions, as defined in PCT rule 13.2 does not exist. Accordingly the international oes not relate to one invention or to a single inventive concept.
4. (	Conseque	ntly, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	X a	l parts
	ti	ne parts relating to claims Nos.

International application No.

PCT/AU2004/000582

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1. Statement			•
No	ovelty (N)	Claims 1-15, 17-26	YES
		Claims 16	NO
In	ventive step (IS)	Claims 1-15, 26	YES
	•	Claims 16-25	NO
In	dustrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-26	YES
		Claims	NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

#### **NOVELTY and INVENTIVE STEP**

#### I. Claims 1-15 & 26

- A. The documents constituting the closest prior art are:
  - D1 WO 98/09552
  - D2 DE 29617154 U
  - D3 EP 1057725 A
- B The subject matter of claims 1 & 26 differs from these prior art documents in that the backrest assembly slideably moves relative to the base in a plane parallel to the top surface of the base.
- C. The distinguishing features of the invention will enable to adjust the depth of the backrest assembly and front of the base.
- D. Therefore the application satisfies the criteria set forth in PCT Article 33(2-3), concerning the novelty and inventive step of claims 1 & 26.
- E. The criteria concerning novelty and inventive step of claims 2-15 are satisfied because these claims are dependent on claim 1.

International Application No.

PCT/AU2004/000582

Supp	lemental	Box	$\mathbf{V}$
------	----------	-----	--------------

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

- II. Claims 16-25
- A. The documents constituting the closest prior art are:

D4 DE 20116300 U

D5 US 5207544 A

D6 US 5518335 A

B. The document D4 disclose all the features of claim 16, hence lack novelty.

Features of claims 17-25 are considered to be either minor variations which come within the scope of the customary practice followed by a person skilled in the art or mere workshop improvements and hence lack an inventive step.